Kris Jordan / August 26, 2024 / COMP423 / Class 03

Type Inference and Async Programming

Please seat at tables with at least 3 people, ideally 4! Only use Zones ABC. No DEF!

Structural Typing As opposed to *reified, nominal* **typing, the** *shape* **of values determines valid uses.**

• Functions can conform to function type interfaces *without declaring they do!*

- - expected.
- exploit anonymous functions.
	- As seen on the previous slide!
-

• Contrast this with Java's class/implements relationship: just defining the methods of an interface is not enough, a class must also state it implements an interface in order to be used where the interface is

• This is very powerful for enabling common usage scenarios in TypeScript that

• An **interface** *specifies the shape* **of a value** and *any value* that conforms to that shape is automatically considered to be an implementation of the **interface**.

Type Inference TypeScript can use context to infer types without explicit declarations.

- What is the type of x in the following statement: **let x = "hello, world";**
- What is the return type of the following function: **let** $f = (x: number)$ => { return $x * 2;$ }

Type Inference (2/2) Consider the following function type interface and function signature:

• In the bolded anonymous arrow function, given the above definitions, what is `x`'s type and what is the arrow function definition's return type? map(["A","B","C"], **(x) => { return parseInt(x, 16); }**);

function map(xs: string[], f: Transform): number[] $\{$ /** Elided */ $\}$

interface Transform { (num: string): number; }

```
interface Predicate {
      (num: number): boolean;
}
function filter(xs: number[], test: Predicate) {
     let result: number[] = [];
     for (let x of xs) {
         if (test(x)) {
              result.push(x);
         }<br>}
     }
     return result;
}
console.log(
     filter(
         [1, -2, 3, -4, 5],
          (x: number): boolean => { 
              return x < 0; 
 }
\overline{\phantom{a}});
```

```
interface Predicate {
     (num: number): boolean;
}
function filter(xs: number[], test: Predicate) {
     let result: number[] = [];
     for (let x of xs) {
        if (test(x)) {
              result.push(x);
 }
 }
     return result;
}
console.log(
     filter(
        [1, -2, 3, -4, 5],
         (x) \Rightarrow f return x < 0; 
 }
\overline{\phantom{a}});
```


The Right-hand Example is Idiomatic Thanks to Inference

A note on syntactical sugar...

• TypeScript (and JavaScript) offer syntactical sugar for writing arrow functions definitions more concisely. Consider this arrow function:

const t: Transform = $(x: string): int => { return parseInt(x, 16); }$

- If TypeScript has context to infer types, you can omit param and return types: const t: Transform = (x) => { return parseInt(x, 16); }
- When the *body* of an arrow function contains *only one statement*, and it is a return statement, then you can omit the curly braces and return keyword:

const t: Transform = (x) => parseInt(x, 16);

• When an arrow function has only one parameter and you do not need to specify its type, you can omit the parameter list's parentheses.

const t: Transform = $x \Rightarrow$ parseInt(x, 16);

We recommend this syntax as you write your first TS/JS programs.

Software Engineering Lessons in TypeScript

• Adding static type annotations to the JavaScript language, alongside tools for checking, compiling, and niceties in IDEs like VSCode, the TypeScript language enabled software engineering teams to collaborate on large code bases more confidently and productively.

- Better tools, **better teams**!
	-
- Better automated verification, **better user experiences**!
	- 2017 study out of University College London and Microsoft Research found **15% of time if using TypeScript**, or equivalent*
- **Layered system design can add stronger properties above more primitive layers**
	-
	-

JavaScript bugs that made it to production systems would have been found at compile

• TypeScript is a superset of JavaScript! (All programming languages ultimately transform down to machine code... most add semantic properties stronger than assembly's ability to.)

• Before building a new system ask: **can we build a new layer on an existing system instead?**

* https://www.microsoft.com/en-us/research/wp-content/uploads/2017/09/gao2017javascript.pdf

Runtime Models

- **Single Thread Blocking Sequential Model** Each operation "blocks" progress in the thread of execution until it completes.
	- This is the runtime model you are most comfortable with and currently your default mental model.
- **Multithreaded Model** Expensive operations, in terms of time complexity, are moved to separate threads, as you saw in 301. Each Thread is blocking/ sequential and maintains its own call stack, but they share the same heap. Synchronization and memory safety is a real challenge, as you saw in 301!
- **Asynchronous Event Model** Expensive operations are added to background queues that do not block execution in the main thread. These backgrounded tasks register **callback functions** that are called sometime after the operation completes

Example Async Function: setTimeout

- Schedules a callback function to run after a specified delay in milliseconds.
- After the delay has passed, the callback function is called.
- setTimeout is a **non-blocking** function!

interface **TimeoutCallback** { (): void; }

const **setTimeout** = (cb: TimeoutCallback, ms: number) => { /** Implementation elided. */ };

// Example Usage: Print "Hello!" 1 second from now...

setTimeout(*() => { console.log("Hello!"); }*, 1000);

-
-
-

What is the printed output? Form pairs (or trios at tables of 3) and whiteboard...

const one = $()$: void => $\{$ console.log(`T-1 seconds`); setTimeout(zero, 1000); };

const zero = $()$: void => $\{$ console.log(`T-0 seconds`); };

console.log("Launch in..."); setTimeout(one, 1000); console.log("BOOM!");

-
- -
	-
	-
	- -
-
-
- -
-
-
-
-
- -
	-
-
- -
-
-
-
- -
	-
	-
- -
-
- -
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-

Async Event Loop Pseudo-code Intuition

while (true) { **// 1. Check for tasks to run** if (taskQueue is not empty) { **// 2. Run the next task** task = taskQueue.dequeue(); execute(task); }

 // 3. Check for timed tasks (e.g., setTimeout) if (any timed tasks are ready) {

 // 4. Move ready tasks to the task queue taskQueue.enqueue(ready tasks);

}

 // 5. Wait briefly if nothing to do if (taskQueue is empty and no events) { sleep(very_briefly);

}

- The JavaScript run-time's main thread has event loop logic like the code to the right:
- Your program's initial execution as the first "task" that's added to the "Task Queue"
- When async operations are encountered, they are handled by a background system until completed ("ready" for further processing).
- Completed/Ready operation callback "tasks" are added to the task queue.

Output

Current Time: 5:00:00pm

Output

Event/Task Queue **Timer Management Subsystem**

Current Time: 5:00:00pm

const one = $()$: void => $\{$ console.log(`T-1`); };

console.log("Launch in..."); setTimeout(one, 1000); console.log("DOOM!");

Output

Event/Task Queue **Timer Management Subsystem**

Timer established in Timer Management System

At 5:00:01pm call function id:0

Current Time: 5:00:00pm

const one = $()$: void => $\{$ console.log(`T-1`); };

Event/Task Queue **Timer Management Subsystem**

console.log("Launch in..."); setTimeout(one, 1000); console.log("BOOM!");

Output

Output sent to stdout...

At 5:00:01pm call function id:0

Current Time: 5:00:00pm

BOOM!

Call Stack Heap

 \vert id:0 - fn lines 1-3 \vert Launch in...

const one = $()$: void => $\{$ console.log(`T-1`); };

console.log("Launch in..."); setTimeout(one, 1000); console.log("BOOM!");

Output

Our program's code evaluation has completed and the frame of the call stack goes away!

At 5:00:01pm call function id:0

Current Time: 5:00:00pm

BOOM!

Notice, though, our function definition lives on because it's still referenced by a Timer

Event/Task Queue Timer Management Subsystem

IS ONE SECOND IDEN... is one second later....

Current Time: 5:00:01pm

Call Stack Heap

 $id:0$ - fn lines 1-3 **L**aunch in...

const one = $()$: void => $\{$ console.log(`T-1`); };

console.log("Launch in..."); setTimeout(one, 1000); console.log("BOOM!");

Event/Task Queue Timer adds a task to the queue... ystem

Output

At 5:00:01pm call function id:0

Current Time: 5:00:01pm

BOOM!

This timer is READY to run, so it adds a task to the queue...

Call function id:0()

Call Stack Heap

 $id:0$ - fn lines 1-3 **L**aunch in...

const one = $()$: void => $\{$ console.log(`T-1`); };

console.log("Launch in..."); setTimeout(one, 1000); console.log("BOOM!");

Output

Current Time: 5:00:01pm

BOOM!

Event Lush Campy Timer Management Subsystem

The Runtime Model's event loop will check this queue and see that it is not empty...

Call function id:0()

const one = $()$: void => $\{$ console.log(`T-1`); };

CON The Teak's sellingely is processed by set adding a frame to the stack CON jumping into the function definition. The Task's callback is processed by BOOM! adding a frame to the stack and

Output

Event/Task Queue **Timer Management Subsystem**

Current Time: 5:00:01pm

one

 \vert id:0 - fn lines 1-3 \vert Launch in...

Call Stack Heap

lone

 \vert id:0 - fn lines 1-3 \vert Launch in...

Output

Current Time: 5:00:01pm

-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-

T-1

 $id:0$ - fn lines 1-3 **Launch** in...

Output

Current Time: 5:00:01pm

BOOM! T-1

Event/Task Queue **Timer Management Subsystem**

What is the printed output?

```
const countdown = (stant: number): void => {
   console.log(`T-${start} seconds`);
  if (start > 0) {
     setTimeout(
       () \impliescountdown(start - 1)}, 
      1000
    );
 }
};
```

```
console.log("Launch in...");
countdown(1);
console.log("BOOM!");
```
Promise-based Model Promises offer a more modern, object-based take on async callbacks

- Most modern JavaScript/TypeScript non-blocking asynchronous functions return *Promise* objects rather than expect callbacks directly
	- Promises offer looser coupling and composability versus primitive async callback APIs like setTimeout
- As you read, you can use the `.then` method to register a callback function with a Promise object.
- Let's try using `fetch`, which carries out the "expensive"/slow operation of going out to the internet and downloading a resource. Fetch returns a Promise object.

In a `node` REPL in the DevContainer Terminal:

- **let request = fetch("http://worldtimeapi.org/api/timezone/America/New_York");**
	-

let json = request.then((response) => { return response.json(); });

json.then((data) => { console.log(data) });

request is a Promise that represents the async execution of an API request

- When the request promise resolves, response represents metadata about the HTTP request/response. json is a Promise that represents async execution of downloading the body (data) of the API request
	-
	-

Finally, we can log the data out which contains the data about the current date and time.

Contrast with async/await

const main = async (): Promise<void> => { const response = await fetch("http://worldtimeapi.org/api/timezone/America/New_York"); const data = await response.json(); console.log(data); };

```
main().then(() => {
   console.log("--- DONE ---");
});
```
Functions marked async can use the **await** keyword to asynchronously "await" the **result of Promises. This gives the ergonomics of writing synchronous blocking code but results in asynchronous, sequential semantics.** *We will dive more into this Friday!*

